

2024 Model 猜想讨论班.

求真书院

4.25

## §: Absolute values

$k$  field;  $|\cdot|: k \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  is an abs. value, if

(i)  $|x| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ;

(ii)  $|xy| = |x||y|$ ;

(iii)  $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$ ,

$|\cdot|$  is non-archimedean if

$$|x+y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|).$$

Example: ①  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .  $M_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{p \text{ prime}\} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$|x|_{\infty} := \max\{x, -x\},$$

$$|x|_p := p\text{-ord}(x)$$

Ostrowski's thm:  $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ ,  $|\cdot|_p$  prime represent all non-trivial absolute values up to equivalence.

Product formula:  $\prod_{v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}} |x|_v = 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$

②  $k =$  number field; i.e.  $d := [k : \mathbb{Q}] < +\infty$

$\forall v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  extends to some  $w \in M_k$ , write  $w|v$

Recall:  $d_w = [k_w : \mathbb{Q}_v]$  (local degree)

$$|x|_w = |N_{k_w/\mathbb{Q}_v}(x)|_v^{\frac{1}{d_w}}$$

$$k \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v = \prod_{w|v} k_w \quad (\otimes)$$

$$d = \sum_{w|v} d_w \quad \forall v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Definition (Normalised norm with respect to  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

$$\|x\|_w := |x|_w^{\frac{d_w}{d}}$$

$$= |N_{k_w/\mathbb{Q}_v}(x)|_v^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

Proposition (Product formula)

$$\prod_{w \in M_k} \|x\|_w = 1, \quad \forall x \in k^{\times}$$

proof:  $\otimes \Rightarrow$

$$\prod_{w|v} N_{k_w/Q_v}(x) = N_{k/Q}(x), \quad \forall x \in k.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \prod_{w \in M_k} \|x\|_{k_w} &= \prod_{v \in M_Q} \prod_{w|v} \|x\|_{k_w} \\ &= \prod_{v \in M_Q} \prod_{w|v} \left| N_{k_w/Q_v}(x) \right|_v^{1/d} \\ &= \prod_{v \in M_Q} \left| N_{k/Q}(x) \right|_v^{1/d} = 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## §: Heights on projective spaces

$\mathbb{P}_k^n$  = the projective  $n$ -space over  $k$

as a set  $\{k^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} / \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n), \lambda \in k^\times\}\}$

Homogeneous coordinates:  $[x_0 : \dots : x_n]$ .

Definition (Absolute naive height on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ )

For  $P = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , choose  $k$  number field such that  $x_i \in k$  for all  $i$ . (write  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ .)

Define  $H(P) := \prod_{v \in M_k} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_v$  (exponential)

$h(P) := \log H(P)$  (logarithmic)

Basic properties:

①  $H(P) \geq 1$ ,  $h(P) \geq 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ .

② "Well-defined."

2.1:  $\prod_{v \in M_k} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_v = \prod_{v \in M_k} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_v$   
(product formula)

2.2:  $k'/k$  finite extension,  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(k) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(k')$

$$e = \frac{d'}{d} = [k' : k]$$

$$\forall v/w, \|x\|_w = \|x\|_v^{[k' : k]/e}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{v \in M_{k'}} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_w &= \prod_{v \in M_{k'}} \prod_{w/v} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_w \\ &= \prod_{v \in M_k} \left( \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_v \right)^{\sum_{w/v} [k' : k]/e} = \prod_{v \in M_k} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i\|_v. \end{aligned}$$

Remark:  $H$  is  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -invariant, where  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .  
 i.e.  $\forall \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \forall P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}), H(\sigma(P)) = H(P)$ .

§ Northcott property.

Elementary case  $P = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q})$

can choose a representative  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} - \mathbf{0}$   
 such that  $\gcd(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 1$ .

Then  $H(P) = \prod_{\substack{v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ 0 \leq i \leq n}} \max |x_i|_v = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$ .

Lemma ("Northcott" over  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

$$\#\{P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}) : H(P) \leq B\} < +\infty.$$

General case

Theorem (Northcott)

$\forall B, D > 0$

$$\#\{P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}), [Q(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq D, H(P) \leq B\} < +\infty$$

(if  $P = [x_0 : \dots : x_n]$  with  $x_0 \neq 0$ , let  $Q(P) := \mathbb{Q}(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0})$ )

Proposition:  $\forall B > 0, d \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\#\{x \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} : H(x) \leq B, [Q(x) : \mathbb{Q}] = d\} < +\infty$$

$\uparrow = \prod_{v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)} \max(|x|_v, 1)$  we regard  $x = [1 : x] \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$

## Proof of Northcott's Theorem.

For  $P = [x_0 : \dots : x_n]$ , WLOG assume  $x_0 = 1$

Take  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  finite s.t.  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(k)$ . Then

$$\forall v \in M_k, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \max(\|x_i\|_v, 1) \leq \max(1, \|x_1\|_v, \dots, \|x_n\|_v).$$

$$\text{Hence } H(P) \leq B \Rightarrow H(x_i) \leq B.$$

Proposition  $\Rightarrow$  finitely many  $x_i$   $1 \leq i \leq n$  of height  $\leq B$   
 $\Rightarrow$  finitely many such  $P$ .  $\square$

Lemma:  $\exists$  an absolute constant  $C_d > 0$  (depending only on  $d$ ) such that,  $\forall x \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $d = [\mathbb{Q}(x) : \mathbb{Q}]$ , let  $X^d + s_1(x)X^{d-1} + \dots + s_d(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; we have

$$H(1, s_1(x), \dots, s_d(x)) \leq C_d H(x)^{d^2}.$$

## Proof of proposition:

$$\text{Let } N_1(d; B) = \# \{ x \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} : H(x) \leq B, [\mathbb{Q}(x) : \mathbb{Q}] = d \}$$

$$N_2(d; B) = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible } X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + a_d \in \mathbb{Q}[X]. \\ H(1, a_1, \dots, a_d) \leq B \end{array} \right\}$$

Every irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$  has  $d$  roots,

$$\text{Lemma} \Rightarrow N_1(d; B) \leq d N_2(d; CB) < +\infty. \quad \square$$

$\Leftarrow$  "Northcott" over  $\mathbb{Q}$

proof of Lemma, Notation:  $M_K^\infty$  = archimedean absolute values  
 $M_K^f$  = non-archimedean abs. values.

Let  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \cdot x = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$

$K = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  splitting field of  $x$

Then  $\forall 1 \leq r \leq d$ ,  $\forall v \in M_K^\infty$ , by triangle inequality,

$$|s_r(x)|_v = \left| \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_r)} \pm x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r} \right|_v \leq C_{r,v} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i|_v^r$$

Note that  $C_{r,v} \leq \binom{d}{r} \leq 2^d$ .  $\forall r, v \in M_K^\infty$

While  $\forall v \in M_K^f$ , by STRONG triangle inequality,

$$|s_r(x)|_v \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i|_v^r \quad 1 \leq r \leq d.$$

Hence

$$\max \{1, |s_r(x)|_v, 1 \leq r \leq d\} \leq \begin{cases} C_{r,v} \max \{1, |x_i|_v, 1 \leq i \leq d\}^d, & v \in M_K^\infty \\ \max \{1, |x_i|_v, 1 \leq i \leq d\}^d, & v \in M_K^f \end{cases}$$

We can take  $C_d = 2^d$ .

$$\Rightarrow H(1, s_1(x), \dots, s_d(x)) \leq 2^d \prod_{i=1}^d H(x_i)^d$$

Since  $H$  is  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -invariant,  $H(x_1) = \dots = H(x_d) = H(x)$

$$\Rightarrow H(1, s_1(x), \dots, s_d(x)) \leq 2^d H(x)^{d^2}$$

□



## § Functionality in $\mathbb{P}^n$

Let  $f_0, \dots, f_m$  be homogeneous forms of degree  $d$  in  $(n+1)$  variables, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\phi: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$$
$$z \mapsto (f_0(z), \dots, f_m(z)) \quad \text{rational map.}$$

$Z = V(f_0, \dots, f_m)$  "base locus of  $\phi$ ".

$\phi|_{\mathbb{P}^n \setminus Z}$  is a regular map of degree  $d$ .

Theorem Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be closed &  $X \cap Z = \emptyset$ . then

$$\forall p \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \quad R(\phi(p)) = dR(p) + O(1)$$

(i.e.  $O(1)$  means that  $R \circ \phi - dR: \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a bounded function on  $X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ .)

proof (Sketch)

(1)  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \setminus Z$ , we have

$$R(\phi(p)) \leq dR(p) + O(1)$$

(2) Use Hilbert's "Nullstellensatz" to get the reverse inequality on  $X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$

## §. Heights on projective varieties

$V$  projective variety /  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

$L \rightarrow V$  line bundle.

- $L$  is globally generated (or the complete linear system  $|L|$  is base point free)  
if  $\exists$  basis  $(s_0, \dots, s_n)$  of  $H^0(V, L)$  which defines a morphism

$$\phi_L: V \xrightarrow{|L|} \mathbb{P}^n$$

- $L$  is very ample if  $\phi_L: V \xrightarrow{|L|} \mathbb{P}^n$  is an immersion;  
is ample if  $\exists m > 0$ ,  $L^{\otimes m}$  is very ample

- $\forall \phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  morphism,  $C_\phi := [\phi^* \mathcal{O}(1)] \in \text{Pic}(V)$

define  $H_\phi(p) := H(\phi(p));$

$$h_\phi(p) := \log H_\phi(p).$$

- Any  $R_1, R_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  equivalent if  $R_1 = R_2 + \mathcal{O}(1)$

## Theorem (Weil's height machine)

$V$  projective variety  $/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

There exists a **unique functorial** morphism

$$\text{Pic}(V) \rightarrow \left\{ \text{functions } V(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \right\} / \sim \quad \text{s.t.}$$

(1)  $\forall c, c', \quad h_{c+c'} = h_c + h_{c'} + O(1)$

(2) For  $c$  globally generated  $\rightarrow \phi_c: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , we have  
 $h_c = h_{\phi_c} + O(1)$ .

(3)  $V, V'$  projective,  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  morphism.

$$c' \in \text{Pic}(V'), \quad c := f^*c' \in \text{Pic}(V)$$

$$\text{Then } h_c = h_{c'} \circ f + O(1).$$

Lemma 1: Let  $\phi_1: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_1}, \phi_2: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_2}$  be morphisms.

Assume that  $c_{\phi_1} = c_{\phi_2} \in \text{Pic}(V)$ .

$$\text{Then } h_{\phi_1} = h_{\phi_2} + O(1).$$

Proof: Let  $L_1 = \phi_1^* \mathcal{O}(1), L_2 = \phi_2^* \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

$T_i = H^0(V, L_i)$  the vector space of global sections of  $L_i$ .

$$\text{Let } N = \dim T_1 - 1 = \dim T_2 - 1$$

Then there exist an automorphism  $\mathbb{P}^N \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{P}^N$ ,

and linear projections  $p_1: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_1}$ ,  $p_2: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_2}$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{P}^N & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbb{P}^N \\
 \swarrow |k_1| & & \searrow |k_2| \\
 \mathbb{P}^N & & \mathbb{P}^N \\
 \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 \\
 (\mathbb{R} \leftarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_1}) & \xleftarrow{\phi_1} & \mathbb{P}^{n_2} \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathbb{R} \\
 & & \downarrow \phi_2 \\
 & & \mathbb{P}^{n_2}
 \end{array}$$

The lemma follows from the functoriality for  $\mathbb{P}^n$ 's.  $\square$

Lemma 2:  $\forall c \in \mathcal{P}(V)$ ,  $\exists c_1, c_2$  very ample such that  $c = c_1 - c_2$ .

proof: Take very ample ( $V$  projective)  $c_0$ .

$\leadsto \phi_{c_0}: V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  immersion.

$\forall m \gg 1$ ,  $c_1 = c + m c_0$  is globally generated

$\leadsto \phi_{c_1}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  morphism.

Consider the Segre embedding  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{nm+n+1=N}$

Then  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  is an immersion

defined by  $c_1 + c_0 = c + (m+1)c_0$

Then  $c = \underbrace{c + (m+1)c_0}_{\text{very ample}} - \underbrace{(m+1)c_0}_{\text{very ample}}$   $\square$

## Proof of Weil's height machine:

① Sepe embedding  $\Rightarrow c_1, c_2$  very ample.  $h_{c_1+c_2} = h_{c_1} + h_{c_2} + o(1)$ .

② By Lemma 2  $\forall c \in \text{Pic}(V)$ , we have

$$c = c_1 - c_2 \quad c_i \text{ very ample}$$

$$\text{let } h_c = h_{c_1} - h_{c_2}.$$

$$\text{if } c = c'_1 - c'_2 \quad c'_i \text{ very ample.}$$

Then  $c'_1 + c_2 = c_1 + c'_2$  both sides being (very) ample

$$\text{The Lemma 1} \quad \Rightarrow h_{c'_1} + h_{c_2} = h_{c_1} + h_{c'_2} + o(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow h_c - h_{c_2} = h_{c'_1} - h_{c'_2} + o(1). \quad \square$$

## §. Heights on abelian varieties & Mordell-Weil thm

### Theorem (Néron-Tate)

$A/k$  abelian variety,  $L$  ample & symmetric line bundle.  
Then  $\exists$  a unique  $h_L^{\text{NT}}: A(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  "Néron-Tate" height  
such that  $h_L^{\text{NT}}$  defines a positive definite quadratic form on  
 $A(\bar{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ .

Theorem (weak M-W)  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad A(k)/m A(k)$  finite.

Cor (M-W) :  $A(k)$  is finitely generated.

## §. Metrics, Arakelov Heights

$k$  number field.  $M_k = M_k^\infty \sqcup M_k^{\text{fin}}$   
↑ archimedean      ↓ non-arch

$V$  variety (not necessarily projective) /  $k$ ,  $L \rightarrow V$  line bundle.

Definition:  $\forall v \in M_k$ , a  $v$ -adic metric  $\|\cdot\|_v$  on  $L$ , is a map, which, at every  $x \in V(k_v)$ , associates a norm  $\|\cdot\|_v(x)$  on  $x^*L \rightarrow L$  such that  $\forall U \subseteq V$  open,  $\forall$  section  $s$  of  $L$  on  $U$ ,  $(\downarrow \text{Spec } k_v \rightarrow V)$   
 $x \mapsto \|\cdot\|_v(x)$  is continuous in  $v$ -adic topology.

\*\*\*  
from now on assume  $V$  proper.

\*\*\*

Definition: An adelic metric  $(\|\cdot\|_v)_{v \in M_k}$  on  $L$  is a family of metrics satisfying: there exists an integral model (flat & generic fibre  $\cong L \rightarrow V$ )  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$  ( $M_k^\infty \subseteq S \subseteq M_k$  finite)

s.t.:  $\forall v \in M_k \setminus S \quad \forall x \in V(k_v) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ , the norm  $\|\cdot\|_v(x)$  on  $x^*L$  is defined in such a way that

$$\tilde{x}^* \mathcal{L} = \{y \in x^*L : \|y\|_v(x) \leq 1\}$$

(" $(\|\cdot\|_v)$  is induced by an integral model for almost all  $v$ ")

Definition: Given an adelic metrized line bundle  $(L, (\|\cdot\|_v)_{v \in \mathbb{A}_K})$ , the Arakelov height  $\hat{h}_L: V(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\hat{h}_L(x) = - \sum_{v \in \mathbb{A}_K} \log \|s_x\|_v(x)$$

where  $s_x$  is a non-zero local section of  $L$  at  $x \in V(K)$ .

(Product formula  $\Rightarrow$  well-defined.)

Lemma.  $\forall v \in \mathbb{A}_K, \forall \|\cdot\|_v, \|\cdot\|'_v$   $v$ -adic metrics on  $L$ ,  
 $\|\cdot\|_v$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|'_v$  (i.e.  $\exists c < 1$  such that  
 $c \|\cdot\|_v(x) \leq \|\cdot\|'_v(x) \leq c^{-1} \|\cdot\|_v(x)$  on  $x^*L, \forall x \in V(\mathbb{A}_K)$ .)

Proof:  $\forall x \in V(\mathbb{A}_K)$ , we fix  $s_x$  a non-zero local section of  $L$

Then the map  $x \mapsto \frac{\|s_x\|_v(x)}{\|s_x\|'_v(x)}$  is well-defined and continuous.

$V$  proper  $\Rightarrow V(\mathbb{A}_K)$  compact  $\Rightarrow$  map is bounded  $\square$

Proposition: For any adelic metric  $(\|\cdot\|_v)$  on  $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ , we have

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{O}(1)}(P) = h_{\mathcal{O}(1)}(P) + O(1)$$

Proof:  $h_{\mathcal{O}(1)} \leftrightarrow$  "naive metric" on  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

Direct computation & Lemma on equivalence of metrics.  $\square$

## § Distances, logarithmic singularities

$V$  projective.  $\forall X \subseteq V$  Zariski closed,  $U := V \setminus X$ .

Definition:  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . A  $v$ -adic logarithmic distance is a function  
 $d_{X,v}: U(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$

Satisfying: if  $X$  is defined by local equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_r = 0$   
 then the function  $|d_{X,v} - \log^+(\min_{1 \leq j \leq r} |f_j(\cdot)|_v^{-1})|$  extends to  
 a bounded function on any open subset of  $V$  on which  
 $(f_j)$  are all regular.

( $\log^+(\cdot) = \max(\log(\cdot), 0)$ )

Remarks 1)  $d_{X,v} \approx \log(\frac{1}{v\text{-adic distance to } X})$

2)  $\forall Y \subseteq X$  closed,  $d_X \geq d_Y + O(1)$

Proposition: Let  $L \rightarrow V$  be ample. Then  $\exists c > 0$  such that  
 $h_L(p) \geq c d_{X,v}(p) + O(1) \quad \forall p \in U(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof: ①  $\forall L'$  very ample, take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  large so that  
 $L'' := mL - L'$  is globally generated

$\Rightarrow h_{L''} \geq O(1) \Rightarrow mh_L - h_{L'} \geq O(1)$

It suffices to deal with any fixed very ample  $L'$ .

② Take  $D$  divisor containing  $X$  such that  $L' := \mathcal{O}_V(D)$   
 is very ample. By Remark (2), we may assume that  
 $V = \mathbb{P}^N$ ,  $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ,  $X = (x_0 = 0)$ . ( $U = (x_0 \neq 0)$ ).



③ We let for  $P = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in U(k)$

$$d_{x,v}(P) = \log^+ \left( \min_i \left\| \frac{x_0}{x_i} \right\|_v^{-1} \right) = \max_i \log \left\| \frac{x_i}{x_0} \right\|_v$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } h_{(a)}(P) &= \sum_{v \in M_k} \max_i \left( 0, \log \left\| \frac{x_i}{x_0} \right\|_v \right) \\ &\geq \max_i \log \left\| \frac{x_i}{x_0} \right\|_v. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark: In the reduction step ②,  $d_{x,v}$  = "local height  $h_{x,v}$ "  
 ( $h_x = \sum_{v \in M_k} h_{x,v}$ )

Definition:  $v \in M_k$ , - Let  $\|\cdot\|_v'$  be a  $v$ -adic metric on  $L|_U \rightarrow U$

We say that  $\|\cdot\|_v'$  has logarithmic singularities along  $X$  if there exists a  $v$ -adic metric  $\|\cdot\|_v$  on  $L \rightarrow V$ , a logarithmic distance function  $d_{x,v}$  on  $U(k)$  and constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\max \left\{ \frac{\|\cdot\|_v}{\|\cdot\|_v'}, \frac{\|\cdot\|_v'}{\|\cdot\|_v} \right\} \leq c_2 (d_{x,v}(\cdot) + 1)^{c_1} \text{ on } U(k)$$

• A metrized line bundle  $(L|_U (\|\cdot\|_v')_{v \in M_k})$  has log singularities along  $X$  if  $\|\cdot\|_v'$  does for all  $v \in M_k$

Remark: log sing w.r.t. a single  $\|\cdot\|_v$  on  $L \rightarrow V$  & single  $d_{x,v}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow$  log sing w.r.t. every  $\|\cdot\|_v$  on  $L \rightarrow V$  & every  $d_{x,v}$ .

Theorem (Faltings, "Northcott with log-sing's")

Assume  $L \rightarrow V$  ample. Let  $(\|\cdot\|_U)$  be an adelic metric on  $L/U$ .

- Induced by integral models over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ :  $\begin{array}{ccc} L \otimes \mathcal{O}_K & \subseteq & L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \subseteq & U \end{array}$

(So we define the Arakelov height  $\hat{h}_{L/U} : U(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as before.)

- with logarithmic singularities along  $X$ .

Then  $\forall B > 0 \quad \#\{P \in U(K) : \hat{h}_{L/U}(P) < B\} < +\infty$

Proof: Goal: compare  $h_L(P)$  and  $\hat{h}_{L/U}(P)$  for  $P \in U(K)$

Let  $(\|\cdot\|_v)$  be an adelic metric on  $L$  induced by  $L \rightarrow V$

Then  $\forall v \in \mathcal{M}_K^f, \|\cdot\|_v' = \|\cdot\|_v / U$

Fix a log-distance  $d_{X,v}$  on  $U(K_v)$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{M}_K^f$ .

$\forall P \in U(K)$ , take non-zero local section  $s_p$  of  $L$ . Then

$$|h_L(P) - \hat{h}_{L/U}(P)| \leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_K^f} \max \left( \log \frac{\|s_p\|_v(P)}{\|s_p\|_v'(P)}, \log \frac{\|s_p\|_v'(P)}{\|s_p\|_v(P)} \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_K^f} \log C_{1,v} (d_{X,v}(P) + 1)^{C_{2,v}}$$

$$\leq C_1 \log(h_L(P) + 1) + C_2$$

$$\Rightarrow h_L \leq B_1 \hat{h}_{L/U} + B_2$$

Northcott for  $\bar{L} \Rightarrow$  Northcott for  $L/U$ . □